

2. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed Claim 8 of the '915 Patent?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y		Y
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y		Y
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y		Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y		Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y		Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y		Y
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	N		
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y		Y
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	Y		
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y		Y
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	Y		
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y		Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	
Gem (JX 1020)	Y		Y
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y		Y
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y		Y
Intercept (JX 1009)	N		N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y		Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Y		Y
Replenish (JX 1024)	N		N
Transform (JX 1014)	Y		Y
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y		Y

4. For each of the following products, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Samsung Electronics Co. (SEC) took action that it knew or should have known would induce STA or SEA to infringe the '381, '915, or '163 Patents?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung). Do not provide an answer for any cell that is blacked out.)

Accused Samsung Product	'381 Patent (Claim 19)	'915 Patent (Claim 8)	'163 Patent (Claim 50)
Captivate (JX 1011)	Y	Y	N
Continuum (JX 1016)	Y	Y	N
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	Y	Y	Y
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	Y	Y	Y
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	Y	Y	Y
Fascinate (JX 1013)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)		Y	Y
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	Y	Y	Y
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	Y	Y	Y
Gem (JX 1020)	Y	Y	N
Indulge (JX 1026)	Y	Y	N
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	Y	Y	Y
Intercept (JX 1009)		N/A	N
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	Y	Y	Y
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	Y	Y	N
Replenish (JX 1024)	Y	N	Y
Transform (JX 1014)		Y	N
Vibrant (JX 1010)	Y	Y	N

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

10. If you answered "Yes" to any of Questions 1 through 9, and thus found that any Samsung entity has infringed any Apple patent(s), has Apple proven by clear and convincing evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Apple Utility and Design Patents	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
'381 Patent (Claim 19)	Y	Y	Y
'915 Patent (Claim 8)	Y	Y	Y
'163 Patent (Claim 50)	Y	Y	Y
D'677 Patent	Y		Y
D'087 Patent	N		N
D'305 Patent	Y		Y
D'889 Patent	N	N	N

11. Has Samsung proven by clear and convincing evidence that Apple's asserted utility and/or design patent claims are invalid?

'381 Patent (Claim 19) Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
'915 Patent (Claim 8) Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
'163 Patent (Claim 50) Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
D'677 Patent Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
D'087 Patent Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
D'305 Patent Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)  
D'889 Patent Yes \_\_\_\_\_ (for Samsung) No ☒ (for Apple)

Electronics Co. (SEC), Samsung Electronics America (SEA), and/or Samsung Telecommunications America (STA) has infringed the unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)			
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)			

If you did not answer "Yes" to any of Question 20, please skip to Question 22, and do not answer Question 21.

21. If you answered "Yes" to any of Question 20, and thus found that any Samsung entity has infringed Apple's unregistered iPad/iPad 2 trade dress, has Apple proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Samsung entity's infringement was willful?

(Please answer in each cell with a "Y" for "yes" (for Apple), or with an "N" for "no" (for Samsung).)

Asserted Trade Dress	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.	Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC
Unregistered iPad/iPad 2 Trade Dress			

#### DAMAGES TO APPLE FROM SAMSUNG (IF APPLICABLE)

22. What is the total dollar amount that Apple is entitled to receive from Samsung on the claims on which you have ruled in favor of Apple?

\$ 1,051,855,000.00

\$ 1,049,393,293.00  
540

8/24/12

23. For the total dollar amount in your answer to Question 22, please provide the dollar breakdown by product.

Accused Samsung Product	Amount
Captivate (JX 1011)	80,840,162
Continuum (JX 1016)	16,399,117
Droid Charge (JX 1025)	50,672,869
Epic 4G (JX 1012)	130,180,894
Exhibit 4G (JX 1028)	1,081,820
Fascinate (JX 1013)	143,539,179
Galaxy Ace (JX 1030)	0
Galaxy Prevail (JX 1022)	57,867,383
Galaxy S (i9000) (JX 1007)	0
Galaxy S 4G (JX 1019)	73,344,668
Galaxy S II (AT&T) (JX 1031)	40,494,356
Galaxy S II (i9100) (JX 1032)	0
Galaxy S II (T-Mobile) (JX 1033)	83,791,708
Galaxy S II (Epic 4G Touch) (JX 1034)	150,326,988
Galaxy S II (Skyrocket) (JX 1035)	32,273,558
Galaxy S Showcase (i500) (JX 1017)	22,502,146
Galaxy Tab (JX 1036)	1,966,691
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (WiFi) (JX 1037)	833,076
Galaxy Tab 10.1 (4G LTE) (JX 1038)	0
Gem (JX 1020)	4,075,585
Indulge (JX 1026)	16,011,184
Infuse 4G (JX 1027)	44,792,974
Intercept (JX 1009)	0
Mesmerize (JX 1015)	53,123,612
Nexus S 4G (JX 1023)	1,828,297
Replenish (JX 1024)	3,350,256
Transform (JX 1014)	953,060
Vibrant (JX 1010)	89,673,957

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California